Appendix No.2

Detailed rules for the conduct of the mid-term evaluation   
in the Doctoral School of Social Sciences

**PROTOCOL FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION OF A DOCTORAL STUDENT   
 IN THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES UMCS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Last name** |  |
| **First name** |  |
| **Album number** |  |
| **Discypline** |  |
| **Supervisor** |  |
| **Second Supervisor** |  |
| **Auxiliary Supervisor** |  |
| **Date of the beginning of the education at the Doctoral School** |  |

The Mid-term Evaluation Committee is composed of:

1) Chairperson of the Committee - ……………………………………………

2) Member of the Committee - ……………………………………………

3) Member of the Committee - ……………………………………………

conducted on............................................. the mid-term evaluation of Ms./Mrs.......................... in the discipline of .................................

**MID-TERM EVALUATION**

**1. Evaluation of the degree of implementation of the Individual Research Plan**

a) Assessment of the degree of implementation of IRP - 60 points;

- Complete, according to the schedule, implementation of IRP for the 1st and 2nd year of studies: more than 50 points;

- Partial implementation of the IRP: 40-50 points;

- The unsatisfactory implementation of IRP of less than 40 points results in a final negative assessment.

**1a. The doctoral student presents to the Committee the state of the implementation of the IRP.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **The questions were asked by:** | **Questions from the members of the Committee on the implementation of the Individual Research Plan, including the content contained in the presentation, and knowledge of the research problem being pursued** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**1b. Doctoral student’s response to the questions**

Answer to question 1 –

Answer to question 2 –

Answer to question 3 –

***1c. Committee's assessment of the degree of implementation of IRP…………………….***

**2.** **Academic activity - 20 points**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type of academic activity** |  |
| **I.** | **Scientific publications** | **No. of points** |
| **a** | **15 points** for publishing or acceptance into print an article in a journal with a score of **70 points** or more on the list of the MNiSW1 |  |
| **b** | **10 points** for publishing or accepting into print an article in a journal with a score of **40 points** on the list of the MNiSW2 |  |
| **c** | **8 points** for publishing or acceptance into print an article in a journal with a score of 20 points or a chapter in a monograph from the Level I list of Publishers of the MNiSW3 |  |
| **d** | **2 points** for publishing a peer-reviewed scientific article in journals not included in the current list of journals of the MNiSW |  |
| **e** | **15 points** for publishing a monograph from the Level I list of Publishers or a chapter in a monograph from the Level II list4 |  |
| **II.** | Delivering a paper by the Doctoral Student at an international scientific conference - **5 points** |  |
| **III.** | Delivering a paper by the Doctoral Student at a national scientific conference – **3 points** |  |

***Evaluation of the Committee of academic activity* ………………………………………**

**3. Submission or obtaining of a research grant - 20 points**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Grant activity** | **No. of points** |
| **I.** | Submitting a grant application financed from external sources -**5 points** |  |
| **II.** | Obtaining a grant financed from external sources - **20 points** |  |
| **III.** | Participation in a research project financed from external sources (including an applied doctorate) - **10 points** |  |
| **IV.** | Participation in an international research fellowship - less than 30 days **- 5 points;** 30 days and more**- 9 points** |  |
| **V.** | Participation in the national research fellowship - less than 30 days- **3 points;** 30 days and more **- 5 points** |  |

***Evaluation of the Committee of grant activity* ……………………………………………**

**4. Co-organization of events popularizing science** - **2 points.**

***Evaluation of the Committee of the co-organization of events popularizing science*** ………………………

**II.** **Mid-term evaluation result (positive/negative)**

**Total number of points earned as a result of the mid-term evaluation ………………./100**

**As a result of the vote**: ....... votes in favor, ...... votes against, ............ abstentions, the Mid-term Evaluation Committee has passed a resolution to pass/fail the evaluation of Ms./Ms. ……………………………………………….

**JUSTIFICATION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE RESULT OF THE MID-TERM EVALUATION**

……………………………………………………………………….................................................................................................

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

**RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH PLAN**

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………. ……………………………………………………………………….................................................................................................

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The Mid-term Evaluation Committee is composed of:   1. Chairperson ………………………………………      1. Member …………………………………………   3) Member ………………………………………… | Signature |
|  |  |