

Georgiy Kasianov

Memory, History, and Politics: A Bibliography Overview

The bibliographical overview of the literature on memory, history, and politics explores the works devoted to the following topics: how societies remember, interpret, and use their pasts to shape their present and future. This overview synthesizes the key themes, debates, and perspectives from the literature, focusing on the role of memory in shaping national identity, the politics of memory, and the representation of historical trauma.

This overview is structured over the topics covered by the literature collected in the bibliography under the project Quest for the Past: Politics of History in the 'United Europe' and Around (Actors, Actions, Outcomes, end of the 1980s - 2020s) supported by the Polish National Agency for Scholars Exchange (NAWA) in 2021 - 2024.

The annotated bibliography is presented in a separate file.

1. Memory and Historical Trauma

One of the central themes in the literature analyzed is the role of memory in dealing with historical trauma. Traumatic events such as wars, genocides, and political violence leave deep scars on societies, and how these events are remembered and commemorated plays a crucial role in shaping collective identity. In a seminal *Memory in a Global Age* (2010), Aleida Assmann argues that memory is not just a personal or individual phenomenon but a collective one shaped by cultural, social, and political contexts. She emphasizes the importance of memory in dealing with historical trauma, particularly in the context of globalization, where memories of trauma are no longer confined to national borders but are shared across cultures and societies.

Similarly, in *Shadows of Trauma* (2015), Assmann and Conrad explore how personal trauma becomes a collective construction, often clashing with official commemorations. They argue that the tension between personal and collective memory is particularly evident in post-conflict societies, where the state often seeks to impose a unified narrative of the past. At the same time, individuals and communities may have divergent memories of the same events. Paul Connerton also explores this tension in *How Societies Remember* (1989), where he examines how

societies remember and forget traumatic events and how social and political factors shape these processes.

The theme of historical trauma is further explored in works such as *The Struggle for Memory in Latin America* (Allier-Montañó and Crenzel, 2015), which examines how Latin American societies remember the recent history of dictatorships and political violence. The authors argue that memory is crucial in transitional justice processes as societies seek to come to terms with their traumatic pasts. Similarly, *Memory in a Global Age* (Assmann, 2010) explores how memories of trauma are mediated in a globalized world, where events such as the Holocaust or the Rwandan genocide are remembered not just by the affected communities but by the global community as a whole.

2. Politics of Memory and Historical Justice

Nikolay Kopolov, in *Memory Laws, Memory Wars: The Politics of the Past in Europe and Russia* (2018), provides a comprehensive analysis of memory laws in various European countries and Russia, examining how legal instruments have been deployed to regulate historical discourse. Kopolov argues that these laws are frequently used as tools of political control, suppressing dissenting narratives and promoting state-approved versions of history. He explores the contrast between Western and Eastern European approaches, where some memory laws criminalize Holocaust denial and hate speech. In contrast, others, particularly in Russia and post-Soviet states, are used to enforce nationalist interpretations of history and suppress discussions of state crimes, such as Stalinist purges.

Similarly, in *Memory Laws and Historical Justice: The Politics of Criminalizing the Past* (2022), Barkan and Lang delve into the legal and ethical implications of memory laws in shaping historical justice. The volume takes a broader approach, situating memory laws within the framework of historical justice, transitional justice, and human rights. Barkan and Lang assess the balance between protecting historical truth and upholding freedom of expression, questioning whether these laws genuinely serve justice or primarily function as political instruments to shield the state from accountability. They introduce key concepts such as “mnemonic security” and “mnemonic constitutionalism,” which describe how memory laws become embedded in national and international legal systems, influencing the governance of history.

The book also distinguishes between different types of memory laws:

- Punitive memory laws impose criminal sanctions for certain statements about the past (e.g., Holocaust denial laws in Germany and France).
- Non-punitive memory laws are more declaratory but can limit free expression, lead to censorship, and marginalize alternative historical perspectives.

Both books emphasize that memory laws do not exist in a political vacuum—historical legacies, nationalist politics, and geopolitical interests shape them. The enforcement of memory laws is often selective, targeting specific historical narratives while permitting others to flourish, reinforcing state-controlled versions of the past.

Beyond memory laws, the politics of historical narratives play a crucial role in shaping collective memory and national identity. In post-Soviet states, for example, Kasianov, in *Memory Crash: The Politics of History in and around Ukraine* (2022), examines how historical memory has been instrumentalized in geopolitical conflicts, particularly in the context of Russia’s aggressive historical revisionism. The book explores how competing historical narratives—such as differing interpretations of World War II, Soviet occupation, and Ukrainian national resistance—have fueled political and military conflicts in the region.

Additionally, Kończal and Moses, in *Patriotic History and (Re)Nationalization of Memory* (2023), discuss how contemporary European governments have sought to reframe historical narratives to align with nationalist agendas. This re-nationalization of memory often involves reinterpreting past events to fit present political needs, including glorifying national heroes, downplaying controversial histories, or marginalizing minority perspectives.

3. Memory and National Identity

The role of memory in constructing national identity is another central theme in the literature. Historical narratives are often used to define national identity, often in opposition to “the Other.” In *Nationalizing the Past* (2010), Stefan Berger examines how historians in Europe have contributed to the construction of national identities, particularly in the 19th and 20th centuries. He argues that political and ideological factors often shape the writing of national history and that historians, as professional corporations, play a crucial role in shaping national memory.

Likewise, Zerubavel, in *Recovered Roots* (1995), explores how national myths are constructed through memory, focusing on the case of Israel. She examines how three key events—the defense of Masada, the Bar Kokhba revolt, and the battle of Tel Hai—were transformed into symbols of national revival and used to construct a collective memory that underpins Israeli national identity. This theme is also explored by Berger and Conrad in *The Past as History* (2015), examining the relationship between history writing and the construction of national identities in modern Europe.

The theme of national identity is further explored in works such as *History and Belonging* (Berger and Tekin, 2018), which examines how historical narratives are used to construct a sense of belonging in contemporary European politics. The authors argue that memory plays a crucial role in shaping national and supranational identities, particularly in the context of the European Union. Similarly, *The Twentieth Century in European Memory* (Törnquist-Plewa and Andersen, 2017) explores how European societies remember the 20th century and how these memories shape contemporary European identity.

4. Memory and Cultural Representation

The representation of memory in art, literature, film, and museums is another important theme in the literature. Cultural artifacts are crucial in mediating historical memory and shaping public perceptions of the past. James E. Young, in *The Texture of Memory* (1994), examines how Holocaust memorials in different countries reflect national traditions and ideals. He argues that these memorials are not just sites of memory but also sites of political and cultural contestation, where different narratives of the past are negotiated and contested.

Alike, Halina Taborska, in *Sztuka w miejscach śmierci* (2019), explores the role of art in commemorating the victims of Nazism. She examines how art commemorates traumatic events and the ethical implications of representing violence. This theme is also explored by Buryła et al. in *Reprezentacje Zagłady w kulturze polskiej* (2021), where they examine how the Holocaust is represented in Polish culture, particularly in film, theater, and visual arts.

The theme of cultural representation is further explored in works such as *Polish Art and the Holocaust* (Eds. employees of the ŻIH, 2013), which examines how Polish artists have represented the Holocaust in their work. The authors argue that art plays a crucial role in mediating historical memory and can serve as a powerful tool for commemoration and education.

Similarly, *The Lost Worlds: Polish Jews* (Dulik and Zieliński, 2015) uses photographs to document the Jewish presence in Poland before the Holocaust, serving as a form of remembrance and historical preservation.

5. Memory and International Relations

The role of memory in shaping international relations is another key theme in the literature. Memory often influences foreign policy, diplomacy, and international reconciliation. Jeffrey K. Olick, in *The Politics of Regret* (2007), explores how collective memory and historical responsibility shape international relations. He argues that the politics of regret—the public acknowledgment of past wrongs—plays a crucial role in fostering reconciliation between nations.

In the realm of international relations, history is never just the past—it lingers, shaping decisions, alliances, and conflicts. No nation is immune to the weight of its memory, and few concepts illustrate this better than what Jeffrey K. Olick calls the *politics of regret*.

For instance, Germany in the aftermath of World War II, the horrors of the Holocaust left an indelible stain on its national identity, and in the decades that followed, the country embarked on a long journey of acknowledgment. Through official apologies, reparations, and an unwavering commitment to Holocaust education, Germany slowly rebuilt its standing in the world. Its efforts helped repair relationships with former enemies, particularly Israel and its European neighbors, proving that a nation’s reckoning with its past could pave the way for reconciliation.

However, memory is selective. In Japan, the legacy of World War II tells a different story. While some leaders have expressed regret for the country’s wartime actions, particularly regarding the suffering of “comfort women” in Korea and China, others have resisted full acknowledgment. Controversial visits by Japanese politicians to the Yasukuni Shrine, where convicted war criminals are honored alongside fallen soldiers, have fueled diplomatic tensions with South Korea and China. The past, unresolved, continues to ripple through present-day politics.

Nations also wield memory as a tool of influence. In the United States, the Civil Rights Movement is often invoked in diplomatic discussions on democracy and human rights. In Russia, the annual Victory Day parade serves as a powerful reminder of the Soviet Union’s sacrifices in

World War II, reinforcing its image as a global power. Moreover, in China, the “Century of Humiliation”—a period of foreign domination in the 19th and early 20th centuries—is repeatedly cited in political rhetoric, justifying modern policies to restore the nation’s former glory.

But regret, when weaponized, can be a double-edged sword. Some governments use historical acknowledgment as a strategic move rather than an act of genuine remorse. Others resist confronting their past entirely, fearing domestic backlash. The politics of regret is never just about looking back—it is about shaping the future. The question is whether nations will use memory to reconcile, divide, build bridges, or fortify walls. One thing is certain: history is never really history. It lives on, influencing the world in ways both seen and unseen.

Similarly, Fedor et al., in *War and Memory in Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus* (2017), examine how the memory of World War II shapes relations between these post-Soviet states. They argue that the memory of the war is often used to legitimize political regimes and shape national identity but can also be a source of conflict and tension between nations. The memory of World War II remains a defining force in the political and social landscapes of Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus. While the shared experience of the war once unified these nations under Soviet rule, its post-Soviet reinterpretation has often reinforced political divisions and fueled tensions.

In Russia, the “Great Patriotic War” narrative plays a central role in state ideology. The government actively promotes a glorified version of the war, emphasizing Soviet heroism and sacrifice as a foundation for national pride. This narrative not only strengthens political legitimacy but also justifies contemporary policies. The annexation of Crimea in 2014, for example, was accompanied by rhetoric evoking the struggle against fascism, positioning Russia as the inheritor of the Soviet Union’s wartime legacy. Belarus, under Alexander Lukashenko, similarly deploys the memory of World War II as a foundational myth for state identity. Official discourse portrays Belarus as a victim of Nazi atrocities and a heroic resister, reinforcing Lukashenko’s claim that his government is a necessary guarantor of stability. This narrative minimizes other aspects of Belarusian history, particularly Soviet repression, in favor of a simplified war-centric identity that aligns closely with Russia’s official memory politics.

Ukraine presents a more complex and contested case in Kasianov’s *Memory Crash*. Since its independence, Ukrainian historical memory has been divided into competing narratives. In the country’s western regions, the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA), which fought both the Soviets and Nazis, is often celebrated as a symbol of national resistance. In contrast, in the east and south,

Soviet-era narratives remain influential, with many viewing the Red Army as the true liberator of Ukraine. These divisions have had political consequences, particularly after the 2014 Euromaidan protests and the subsequent conflict with Russia. Seeking to distance itself from Soviet historical legacies, the Ukrainian government introduced laws to remove Soviet symbols and rename streets associated with Communist figures. In state rhetoric, World War II was increasingly framed as a struggle against both Nazi and Soviet oppression, challenging Russia's monopoly over the victory narrative. This shift has contributed to heightened tensions between Ukraine and Russia, with Moscow accusing Ukraine of historical revisionism and using such claims to justify its military actions.

World War II memory's politicization has fueled divisions within and between these nations. Russia's accusations of Ukrainian "historical revisionism," Belarus's alignment with Russian narratives, and Ukraine's efforts to construct a distinct national history all reflect the broader geopolitical struggles of the post-Soviet space. As Fedor et al. argue, the war's legacy is not a neutral historical account but a contested field where governments manipulate memory to serve contemporary political agendas. While the war once provided a common thread across the Soviet Union, its modern interpretations have become a source of nationalist mobilization, regime legitimization, and international conflict. Memory, in this context, is not merely about the past—it is an active force shaping the present and future of regional and global politics.

The theme of international relations is further explored in works such as *Franco-German Relations Seen from Abroad* (Colin and Demesmay, 2016), which examines how the memory of World War II has shaped the relationship between France and Germany. The authors argue that memory plays a crucial role in fostering reconciliation between nations but can also be a source of tension and conflict. Similarly, *Memory and Theory in Eastern Europe* (Blacker et al., 2013) explores how memory is used in post-Soviet states to construct national identities and legitimize political regimes. In the aftermath of the Soviet Union's collapse, newly independent states sought to redefine their historical narratives, often distancing themselves from the Soviet past while simultaneously crafting new national myths that served contemporary political needs. This process was not uniform; it varied significantly across the region, influenced by historical experiences, geopolitical positioning, and domestic political dynamics.

In many post-Soviet states, memory politics has been instrumental in shaping national identity. Governments have selectively emphasized certain historical events while downplaying or outright rejecting others in an effort to construct cohesive national narratives. In Ukraine, for

instance, the reinterpretation of history has been particularly pronounced as the state has sought to distance itself from its Soviet legacy. This has involved the glorification of nationalist figures such as Stepan Bandera, who fought against both Nazi and Soviet forces, and the recasting of Soviet-era events through a lens that emphasizes Ukrainian victimhood and resistance. The Holodomor, the catastrophic famine of the early 1930s, has been central to this narrative, officially recognized as a genocide by Ukraine and framed as a deliberate act of repression by the Soviet regime. Such memory policies serve not only to reinforce a sense of Ukrainian national identity but also to position the country in opposition to Russia, which continues to reject the genocide classification and maintains its own Soviet-centric interpretation of history.

In Russia, by contrast, memory politics has taken a different trajectory. The Russian government has selectively embraced Soviet history, particularly the memory of the Great Patriotic War, as a means of fostering national pride and legitimizing the state. Under Vladimir Putin, historical narratives have been carefully curated to reinforce the idea of Russia as a strong and enduring power, emphasizing continuity between the Soviet Union and modern Russia. At the same time, inconvenient aspects of history, such as Stalinist repression, have been downplayed or contextualized in ways that minimize their impact on Russia's national self-image. This selective use of memory not only strengthens the internal cohesion of the Russian state but also serves geopolitical purposes, allowing the government to justify policies such as the annexation of Crimea and its broader confrontation with the West.

Belarus presents yet another variation of memory politics in the post-Soviet space. Unlike Ukraine, which has sought to break away from Soviet historical narratives, and Russia, which has selectively embraced them, Belarus has largely retained a Soviet-style approach to memory. Under Alexander Lukashenko, the state has continued to emphasize the narrative of Belarus as a heroic Soviet republic that suffered greatly during World War II but ultimately emerged victorious alongside the Soviet Union. This narrative reinforces Lukashenko's authoritarian rule, presenting his government as a guarantor of stability and continuity in contrast to the perceived chaos and historical revisionism in neighboring states. By maintaining a close alignment with Soviet memory traditions, Belarus has been able to preserve strong ties with Russia while suppressing alternative historical narratives that might challenge the current regime's legitimacy.

Throughout the region, manipulating historical memory is not merely an academic concern but a powerful political tool. Memory serves to unify populations, justify state policies, and influence foreign relations. It has sometimes been a source of conflict, as competing narratives

clash within and between nations. The contested histories of World War II, Soviet repression, and national liberation movements continue to shape regional tensions as states use memory to define themselves concerning their neighbors. As authors argue, post-Soviet Eastern Europe is a region where history is constantly being rewritten, repurposed, and weaponized to serve the needs of the present. In this context, memory is not a static record of the past but a dynamic and deeply political force that continues to shape national identities and international relations.

6. Memory and Education

The role of education in shaping historical memory is another important theme in the literature. School curricula and textbooks often play a crucial role in constructing national identity and collective memory. Marc Ferro, in his foundational *The Use and Abuse of History* (2003), examines how history is taught in schools worldwide, often to serve political ends. He argues that political and ideological factors often shape the teaching of history and can be used to perpetuate national myths and suppress dissenting narratives.

Similarly, Gray, in *Contemporary Debates on Holocaust Education* (2014), provides a critical survey of Holocaust education practices. He argues that the teaching of the Holocaust poses unique challenges, particularly in terms of how to represent traumatic history in a way that is both accurate and sensitive to the experiences of victims and survivors. Additionally, he critiques the ways in which different national education systems frame the Holocaust, noting variations in emphasis, political influences, and the degree to which contemporary social issues—such as antisemitism and xenophobia—are integrated into discussions of Holocaust memory.

The education theme is further explored in works such as *Education and the Politics of Memory in Russia and Eastern Europe* (Rumiantsev, 2025), which examines how education systems in post-communist states have been used to shape historical memory. The authors argue that education plays a crucial role in constructing national identity but can also be a site of contestation and conflict.

Likewise, *Public History in Poland* (Wojdon, 2021) explores how public history practices in Poland have been used to shape historical memory and to foster a sense of national identity. It highlights the significant role in Poland's nation-building efforts, particularly since the fall of communism in 1989. Through museums, commemorations, school curricula, and media representations, Polish authorities and cultural institutions have actively curated historical narratives that emphasize

national resilience, martyrdom, and resistance against foreign domination. These efforts are deeply intertwined with contemporary political debates, as different actors seek to define the meaning of Polish history in ways that align with their ideological perspectives.

One of the most prominent themes in Poland's public history is the emphasis on national suffering and heroism, particularly in relation to World War II and Soviet oppression. Institutions such as the Warsaw Uprising Museum and the Museum of the Second World War in Gdańsk have played a crucial role in shaping public perceptions of Poland's wartime experience. The Warsaw Uprising Museum, in particular, presents the 1944 uprising against German occupation as a heroic but tragic struggle, reinforcing narratives of Polish bravery and sacrifice. Similarly, the state-supported Institute of National Remembrance (IPN) has been instrumental in promoting research and public discourse on Polish victimhood under both Nazi and communist regimes, reinforcing a historical narrative that positions Poland as a nation that has endured and resisted oppression throughout its history.

Public history in Poland has also been used as a tool for political legitimacy. The ruling Law and Justice Party (PiS), for example, has actively engaged in historical policymaking, promoting a patriotic vision of history that aligns with its nationalist and conservative ideology. This has included initiatives such as revising history textbooks, renaming streets and public spaces associated with communist figures, and challenging narratives that downplay Polish resistance or highlight complicity in historical atrocities. Controversies surrounding Poland's role in the Holocaust, particularly regarding the passing of the 2018 law criminalizing accusations of Polish collaboration with the Nazis, highlight the ways in which historical memory is both politically charged and fiercely contested.

Beyond state institutions, grassroots initiatives and cultural productions—films, literature, historical reenactments—have contributed to the popularization of national history. Polish cinema, for instance, has played a significant role in shaping public memory, with films like *Katyń* (2007) by Andrzej Wajda bringing attention to Soviet crimes against the Polish nation. At the same time, public history initiatives have sought to reclaim forgotten or marginalized narratives, including the history of Poland's Jewish communities, women's contributions to national movements, and local histories that do not always fit neatly into state-sponsored narratives.

As Wojdon illustrates, Poland’s approach to public history is not merely an academic exercise but a dynamic and often politicized process that reflects broader struggles over national identity. While public history initiatives have succeeded in fostering a strong sense of national pride, they have also sparked debate and controversy over historical accuracy, inclusivity, and the role of the state in shaping collective memory. In a country where history is deeply intertwined with national consciousness, public history remains a battleground where competing visions of the past continue to shape Poland’s present and future.

7. Memory and Transitional Justice

The role of memory in transitional justice processes is another key theme in the literature. Transitional justice refers to the processes by which societies deal with the legacies of mass human rights abuses, often in the aftermath of conflict or authoritarian rule. Lavinia Stan, in the already mentioned *Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Romania* (2013), examines how post-communist societies deal with their “difficult pasts” through trials, restitution, and commemoration. She argues that the politics of memory often shape transitional justice mechanisms as states seek to balance the demands for justice with the need for reconciliation.

Tismaneanu and Iacob, in *Remembrance, History, and Justice* (2015), explore how democratic societies come to terms with traumatic pasts and the role of memory in shaping justice processes. They argue that memory plays a crucial role in transitional justice, as it shapes how societies remember and interpret their history, and how they seek to achieve justice and reconciliation. A major theme of the book is the intersection between memory and transitional justice. The editors argue that memory influences how societies engage with historical injustices, impacting truth-seeking mechanisms, legal frameworks, and reconciliation efforts. The book highlights that in many post-authoritarian and post-conflict societies, memory becomes a contested space where victims, perpetrators, political elites, and civil society struggle over historical narratives. The way history is remembered—or forgotten—shapes legal and political responses to past violence.

The essays in the volume provide case studies on how trials, truth commissions, and memorialization efforts function within different societies. Some chapters focus on post-communist Eastern Europe, examining how former Soviet bloc countries have dealt with legacies of state repression and mass violence. The volume discusses the Nuremberg Trials as a precedent, highlighting their impact on subsequent international justice mechanisms such as the International

Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR).

One key argument in the book is that transitional justice is not merely about legal redress but also about shaping historical consciousness. Memory laws, public history initiatives, and official commemorations all play roles in the long-term process of justice. However, the book also acknowledges the risks of political manipulation, where governments may use memory laws to advance selective narratives, suppress dissent, or reinforce nationalist mythologies.

In addition to examining state-led transitional justice efforts, the book explores grassroots and cultural memory projects, including memorial museums, literature, and visual arts, as alternative mechanisms for historical reckoning. These case studies reveal that societies often rely on a combination of legal, cultural, and educational approaches to address historical traumas.

Allier-Montañó and Crenzel, in *The Struggle for Memory in Latin America: Recent History and Political Violence* (2015), provide a comprehensive examination of memory struggles related to the legacy of dictatorships and political violence across Latin America. The volume brings together case studies from multiple countries, including Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, the United States, Guatemala, El Salvador, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru, and Uruguay, offering a comparative perspective on how societies confront their past.

A major theme in the book is the role of memory in transitional justice processes. The authors argue that memory plays a crucial role in truth commissions, reparations, and legal accountability, serving as both a site of contestation and a tool for justice. Many Latin American countries have established official truth commissions, such as Argentina's *National Commission on the Disappearance of Persons (CONADEP)* and Chile's *Rettig Report*, which have sought to document human rights violations and provide public acknowledgment of state-sponsored repression. These reports have contributed to the formalization of historical narratives and have played a role in shaping public memory.

The book also explores the controversies surrounding commemoration practices. In some cases, state-led memory projects aim to reconcile national histories, while in others, they serve political interests by selectively highlighting certain aspects of the past while marginalizing others. For instance, in Argentina, memory policies have been shaped by shifting political dynamics, with different governments emphasizing either justice for the victims or reconciliation

with former perpetrators. Similarly, in Brazil and Mexico, where dictatorship-era crimes have not been as systematically addressed, struggles over commemoration continue to generate political debate.

Another key issue discussed in the volume is the relationship between memory and the legal system. While some nations have successfully prosecuted perpetrators of state violence—such as in Argentina and Peru—others have faced challenges due to amnesty laws that shield military and political figures from prosecution. This legal tension underscores the complex ways in which memory influences and is influenced by judicial processes.

Furthermore, the book highlights the role of grassroots movements and civil society organizations in shaping memory narratives. Victims' associations, human rights organizations, and community-led initiatives have played a crucial role in demanding truth and justice, creating alternative spaces for remembrance beyond state-sanctioned memorials and museums. In some cases, families of the disappeared have been at the forefront of the fight for historical truth, organizing protests, producing documentary films, and preserving sites of repression as memory spaces.

The broader implications of these memory struggles extend beyond Latin America. The book situates Latin America's experiences within global debates on transitional justice and post-authoritarian memory politics, drawing connections with other regions that have faced similar dilemmas, such as post-apartheid South Africa and post-communist Eastern Europe.

8. Memory and Museums

Museums play a crucial role in shaping historical memory, particularly in the context of traumatic events such as the Holocaust. James E. Young, in *The Texture of Memory* (1994), examines how Holocaust memorials in different countries reflect national traditions and ideals. He argues that these memorials are not just sites of memory but also sites of political and cultural contestation, where different narratives of the past are negotiated and contested.

Likewise, *Creating the House of European History* (Mork and Christodoulou, 2018) explores the role of museums in shaping European memory. The authors argue that museums play a crucial role in mediating historical memory and can serve as powerful tools for education and commemoration. The authors explore how museums function as spaces for education,

commemoration, and public engagement, influencing collective memory and identity. They argue that museums, through their curation and interpretation of historical narratives, actively participate in the construction of a shared European past. The book specifically focuses on the House of European History, a museum in Brussels established by the European Parliament, as a case study to illustrate how institutional decisions, curatorial choices, and political considerations shape the representation of history. Mork and Christodoulou highlight the challenges of creating a transnational narrative that acknowledges national histories while promoting a cohesive European identity. They also discuss the broader implications of museum exhibitions in fostering historical consciousness, critical engagement, and dialogue about the past.

This theme is also explored by Ziębińska-Witek in *Historia w muzeach* (2011), where she examines how the Holocaust is represented in museums in Poland and around the world. One of the key arguments in the book is that museums are not neutral spaces, but rather dynamic institutions where historical memory is actively constructed and contested. Ziębińska-Witek explores how the materiality of museums—their architecture, the objects they display, and the spatial organization of exhibitions—plays a fundamental role in shaping how visitors engage with Holocaust history. She discusses how museums create immersive experiences, using artifacts, multimedia installations, and interactive storytelling techniques to evoke emotional responses and facilitate historical understanding.

The book also examines the evolution of Holocaust exhibitions over time. While early museums primarily focused on documenting atrocities and preserving survivor testimonies, contemporary museums increasingly incorporate multisensory elements, virtual reconstructions, and artistic interpretations to engage broader audiences. Ziębińska-Witek notes that since the publication of her book in 2011, many Holocaust museums have undergone significant exhibition updates, reflecting changes in historical scholarship, visitor expectations, and technological advancements.

Another important theme in the book is the politicization of Holocaust memory. Ziębińska-Witek critically assesses how national narratives influence museum exhibitions, highlighting cases where the representation of the Holocaust is shaped by political agendas, public debates, and ideological conflicts. She discusses how museums in different countries frame their exhibitions within broader historical narratives, sometimes emphasizing national heroism, resistance, or victimhood, while in other cases, focusing on collaboration, complicity, and moral responsibility.

The book also situates Holocaust museums within the broader field of memorial studies, comparing them to other sites of memory, such as genocide museums, war memorials, and human rights institutions. By drawing comparisons, Ziębińska-Witek demonstrates that Holocaust memory is part of a global discourse on trauma, justice, and remembrance, influencing how societies engage with other historical atrocities.

The theme of museums is further explored in works such as *Memorylands* (Macdonald, 2013), which examines how heritage and memory are represented in museums across Europe. The author argues that museums play a crucial role in shaping national and transnational identities and can serve as sites of contestation and conflict. Similarly, *Difficult Heritage* (Macdonald, 2009) explores how museums deal with the legacy of the Nazi past in Nuremberg and how they seek to balance the demands of commemoration with the need for historical accuracy.

9. Memory and the Literature

Literature plays a crucial role in shaping historical memory, particularly in the context of traumatic events such as the Holocaust. Ubertowska, in *Świadectwo – trauma – głos* (2007), examines how the Holocaust is represented in Polish literature. She argues that literature plays a crucial role in mediating historical memory and that it can serve as a powerful tool for commemoration and education.

The literary depiction of the Holocaust has evolved over time, reflecting different generational perspectives and ideological shifts. Early post-war literature often focused on survivor testimonies and firsthand accounts, emphasizing the horror and incomprehensibility of the events. Later, writers began to explore the complexities of memory, representation, and the ethics of narration. Buryła, in *Getto warszawskie w literaturze polskiej* (2021), provides an anthology of Polish prose and poetry depicting the Warsaw Ghetto, including works by renowned authors such as Hanna Krall and Czesław Miłosz, as well as lesser-known writers. This collection illustrates how Polish literary imagination has grappled with the experience of the Holocaust, constructing narratives that both commemorate and reflect on historical trauma.

The interplay between historical memory and cultural representation is also explored in Buryła, Krawczyńska, and Leociak in *Reprezentacje Zagłady w kulturze polskiej (1939-2019)* (2021), which examines how the Holocaust has been portrayed in Polish film and theater. Their study highlights how cinematic and theatrical representations influence public consciousness,

either reinforcing or challenging dominant historical narratives. Similarly, Niziołek, in *Polski teatr Zagłady* (2013), critically assesses how Polish theater has engaged with Holocaust memory, demonstrating how performance can serve as both a medium of commemoration and a space for confronting unresolved historical tensions.

Preizner, in *Kamienie na macewie* (2012), investigates how the Holocaust has been represented in Polish cinema, analyzing how film adaptations of literary works contribute to the shaping of collective memory. This aligns with broader discussions in Blacker, Etkind, and Fedor in *Memory and Theory in Eastern Europe* (2013), which explore the politics of memory in post-Soviet and Eastern European contexts, including how literature and cultural narratives shape historical consciousness.

The role of Holocaust literature is not merely to document the past but also to influence contemporary understandings of justice, trauma, and collective responsibility. Literary works have the power to bridge generational divides, ensuring that the memory of the Holocaust remains a vital component of historical discourse. By integrating personal narratives, fiction, poetry, and dramatic interpretations, literature continues to serve as an essential medium for reflecting on the moral and historical implications of the Holocaust.

10. Memory and the Visual Arts

Visual arts play a crucial role in shaping historical memory, particularly in the context of traumatic events such as the Holocaust. Art serves as a medium for commemoration, education, and critical reflection, allowing for the reinterpretation of historical events through aesthetic and emotional engagement.

Budkowska, in *Sztuka polska wobec Holokaustu* (2013), presents a collection of essays exploring how Polish artists have depicted the Holocaust. The volume provides insight into the complex relationship between Polish national memory and Holocaust representation, addressing the ethical and aesthetic dilemmas faced by artists who engage with this difficult past. The contributions in this collection highlight how different artistic approaches—from realism to abstraction—shape public understanding of the Holocaust and its aftermath.

Similarly, Dulik and Zieliński, in *The Lost Worlds: Polish Jews* (2015), use photography to document Jewish life in Poland before the Holocaust. Their work functions as an act of

remembrance, preserving visual traces of communities that were largely destroyed during World War II. The album includes historical narratives that frame the images, contextualizing them within broader discussions of Jewish heritage and collective memory. By focusing on everyday life rather than solely on the trauma of the Holocaust, *The Lost Worlds* challenges dominant visual narratives and expands the ways in which Jewish history is represented in Polish memory culture.

Taborska, in *Sztuka w miejscach śmierci* (2019), examines the role of art in sites of genocide and mass violence. She investigates how European memorials dedicated to the victims of Nazism incorporate artistic elements to facilitate historical engagement and emotional resonance. Taborska argues that artistic interventions in such spaces must navigate a delicate balance between aesthetic expression and ethical responsibility. Her study provides a comparative analysis of Holocaust memorials across Europe, highlighting the diverse ways in which art contributes to the politics of remembrance.

The role of visual arts in shaping Holocaust memory is further explored in *Polish Art and the Holocaust* (2013), a collection curated by employees of the Jewish Historical Institute (ŻIH). This volume features reproductions of artistic works alongside critical essays that discuss their significance in the context of Polish historical memory. The book documents how Polish artists, both during and after World War II, responded to the Holocaust, offering a crucial insight into the evolving nature of artistic engagement with this history. The inclusion of art exhibition catalogs demonstrates how curatorial practices influence public perceptions of the past.

A broader cultural perspective on Holocaust representation is provided by Buryła, Krawczyńska, and Leociak, in *Reprezentacje Zagłady w kulturze polskiej (1939-2019)* (2021), which examines how the Holocaust is depicted in Polish visual arts, film, and pop culture. The collection addresses the intersection of historical trauma with contemporary media, analyzing the commodification and reinterpretation of Holocaust imagery in popular culture. The essays also discuss controversies surrounding representations of the Holocaust in mainstream media, emphasizing the ethical dimensions of using visual culture to engage with atrocity.

Collectively, these studies underscore the importance of visual art as a medium for Holocaust remembrance and historical reflection. Whether through painting, photography, sculpture, or film, artists engage with the past in ways that challenge, commemorate, and sometimes provoke public discourse. The visual arts not only document history but also shape

collective memory by offering new perspectives on the legacy of trauma and the role of memory in contemporary society.

11. Memory and the Role of Public History

Public history refers to the ways in which history is presented to the public outside of academic settings, through museums, memorials, films, and other cultural artifacts. *Public History in Poland* (Wojdon, 2021) explores how public history practices in Poland have been used to shape historical memory and to foster a sense of national identity. The author argues that public history plays a crucial role in mediating historical memory and that it can serve as a site of contestation and conflict.

Macdonald, in *Memorylands: Heritage and Identity in Europe Today* (2013), examines how heritage and memory are represented in public history sites across Europe. The book investigates the various ways in which memory is materialized, narrativized, and commodified in contemporary European societies. Macdonald argues that the processes of heritage-making are deeply entwined with broader political, social, and economic structures, reinforcing particular historical narratives while marginalizing others. The book explores how different European nations use museums, memorials, and historical exhibitions to frame collective identity, often in ways that reflect national priorities and ideological stances.

An important theme in Macdonald's work is the tension between national and transnational memory. In some cases, public history sites emphasize a shared European heritage, attempting to create a unifying historical consciousness that transcends national borders. However, as Macdonald demonstrates, the processes of heritage-making often highlight fractures and contestations, where different groups struggle over how the past should be remembered and represented. This aligns with broader discussions in Pakier and Wawrzyniak, in *A European Memory?* (2010), which examine how European institutions attempt to construct a shared historical narrative while grappling with the diverse and often conflicting memories of different nations.

The musealization of communism in Eastern Europe is another critical aspect of public history. Ziębińska-Witek, in *Muzealizacja komunizmu w Polsce i Europie Środkowo-Wschodniej* (2018), analyzes how communism is represented in museums across Poland and Central and Eastern Europe. She explores how political actors, cultural institutions, and social initiatives

shape the portrayal of the communist past in exhibitions, memorials, and museums. Her study reveals that museums are not neutral spaces, but rather politically charged institutions where competing interpretations of history are negotiated.

Ziębińska-Witek demonstrates that while some museums attempt to present a balanced, critical view of communism, others reflect politically motivated narratives, often shaped by contemporary ideological battles. In Poland, for example, the depiction of communism in museums is deeply influenced by current political discourses, with some exhibitions emphasizing the oppressive nature of the regime, while others present a more nuanced view, acknowledging both repression and the everyday experiences of people living under communism. This process of selective remembering and forgetting is crucial in understanding how public history is used to shape collective memory and national identity.

Moreover, Macdonald's concept of "difficult heritage" is relevant in the context of communist-era sites. In *Difficult Heritage: Negotiating the Nazi Past in Nuremberg and Beyond* (2009), Macdonald examines how societies deal with contentious historical legacies, particularly in Germany's confrontation with its Nazi past. She argues that certain heritage sites become contested spaces, where different social and political groups struggle over how the past should be interpreted and remembered. A similar dynamic can be observed in how post-communist countries engage with the legacy of state socialism, with some nations opting for memorialization and condemnation, while others take a more reconciliatory approach.

Finally, the role of museums in shaping historical consciousness is also evident in Holocaust remembrance. Ziębińska-Witek, in *Historia w muzeach: Studium ekspozycji Holokaustu* (2011), explores how the Holocaust is represented in museums in Poland and around the world. She critically examines the evolution of Holocaust exhibitions, highlighting the shifting methodologies in presenting difficult histories. Her work underscores the challenges of balancing historical accuracy, emotional engagement, and political considerations in museum displays

12. Memory and the Role of Digital Media

Digital media has become an increasingly important site for constructing and disseminating historical memory. In *Memory Unbound: Tracing the Dynamics of Memory Studies* (2016), editors Lucy Bond, Stef Craps, and Pieter Vermeulen explore how digital media

influences the dynamics of memory studies, particularly in the context of globalization. The collection systematically examines the transcultural, transgenerational, transmedial, and transdisciplinary dimensions of memory, highlighting the fluid and dynamic nature of memory in the digital age.

The volume includes essays that deal with the role of digital platforms in shaping collective memory. For instance, José van Dijck's contribution analyzes how Facebook's interface structures personal and collective memories, emphasizing the platform's shift from a database model to a narrative one. This shift underscores the platform's influence in organizing and presenting users' past experiences, thereby impacting how historical memory is constructed and perceived.

Another pertinent essay by Joyce van de Bildt examines the emergence of Facebook pages dedicated to former Egyptian president Gamal Abdel Nasser. This study illustrates how social media platforms facilitate the construction and dissemination of collective memories, allowing users to engage with historical figures and events in ways that transcend traditional national and cultural boundaries.

Overall, *Memory Unbound* argues that digital media plays a crucial role in shaping historical memory, serving as a powerful tool for education and commemoration. The editors and contributors highlight the need for memory studies to adapt to the transforming effects of new communication technologies, advocating for an interdisciplinary approach to understanding memory's distinctive variability in the digital era.

Bibliography

Alexander, S., and B. Taylor, eds. *History and Psyche: Culture, Psychoanalysis, and the Past*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

Allier-Montaña, E., and E. Crenzel, eds. *The Struggle for Memory in Latin America: Recent History and Political Violence*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.

Antohi, S., B. Trensceny, and P. Apor, eds. *Narratives Unbound: Historical Studies in Post-Communist Eastern Europe*, CEU Press, 2007.

Arenhövel, M. *Demokratie und Erinnerung: Der Blick zurück auf Diktatur und Menschenrechtsverbrechen*. Frankfurt am Main; New York: Campus Verlag, 2000.

Assmann, A., ed. *Memory in a Global Age: Discourses, Practices and Trajectories*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.

Assmann, A., and Conrad S., eds. *Shadows of Trauma: Memory and the Politics of Postwar Identity*. Fordham University Press, 2015.

Bal, M., J. Crewe, and L. Spitzer, eds. *Acts of Memory: Cultural Recall in the Present*. Hanover; London: Dartmouth College; University Press of New England, 1999.

Barkan, E., and Lang A., eds. *Memory Laws and Historical Justice: The Politics of Criminalizing the Past*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2022.

Bartolini, G., and J. Ford, eds. *Mediating Historical Responsibility: Memories of 'Difficult Pasts' in European Cultures*. De Gruyter, 2024.

Berger, S., and Ch. Lorenz. *Nationalizing the Past: Historians As Nation Builders in Modern Europe*. Palgrave Macmillan: Houndmills, 2010.

Berger, S., and K. Conrad. *The Past as History: National Identity and Historical Consciousness in Modern Europe*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.

Berger, S., and C. Tekin, eds. *History and Belonging: Representations of the Past in Contemporary European Politics*. Berghahn, 2018.

Berger, S., and W. Kansteiner, eds. *Agonistic Memory and the Legacy of 20th Century Wars in Europe*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2021.

Bernhard, M., and J. Kubik, eds. *Twenty Years After Communism: The Politics of Memory and Commemoration*. Oxford University Press, 2014.

Bieleń, S., and A. Skrzypek, eds. *Pamięć i polityka historyczna w stosunkach polsko-rosyjskich*. Aspra: Warszawa, 2017.

Blacker, U., A. Etkind, and J. Fedor, eds. *Memory and Theory in Eastern Europe*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.

Bojarski, J. J., ed. *Ścieżki pamięci: Żydowskie miasto w Lublinie - Losy, miejsca, historia*. Norbertinum: Lublin, 2002.

Bond, L., S. Craps, and P. Vermeulen. *Memory Unbound: Tracing the Dynamics of Memory Studies*. Berghahn, 2016.

Booth, W. J. *Communities of Memory: On Witness, Identity, and Justice*. Ithaca; London: Cornell University Press, 2006.

Brzechczyn, K., ed. *New Perspectives in Transnational History of Communism in East Central Europe*. Peter Lang: Berlin etc., 2019.

Brunnbauer, U., ed. *(Re)Writing History: Historiography in Southeast Europe after Socialism*. LIT Verlag, 2004.

Budkowska, M., ed. *Sztuka polska wobec Holokaustu*. Żydowski Instytut Historyczny: Warszawa, 2013.

Buryła, S., ed. *Getto warszawskie w literaturze polskiej: Antologia*. MGW - Chorizon: Warszawa - Wrocław, 2021.

Buryła, S., D. Krawczyńska, and J. Leociak, eds. *Reprezentacje Zagłady w kulturze polskiej (1939-2019): Problematyka Zagłady w filmie i teatrze*. Instytut Badań Literackich PAN: Warszawa, 2021.

Buryła, S., D. Krawczyńska, and J. Leociak, eds. *Reprezentacje Zagłady w kulturze polskiej (1939-2019): Problematyka Zagłady w sztukach wizualnych i popkulturze*. Instytut Badań Literackich PAN: Warszawa, 2021.

Cała, Alina, ed. *Jew: The Eternal Enemy? The History of Antisemitism in Poland*. Translated by M. Golubiewski. Peter Lang: Berlin etc., 2019.

Chwedoruk, Rafał. *Polityka historyczna*. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN: Warszawa, 2018.

Colin, N., and C. Demesmay. *Franco-German Relations Seen from Abroad: Post-war Reconciliation in International Perspectives*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2016.

Connerton, P. *How Societies Remember*. Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Czapliński, P., and E. Domańska, eds. *Zagłada: Współczesne problemy rozumienia i przedstawiania*. Wydawnictwo „Poznańskie Studia Polonistyczne”: Poznań, 2009.

Danilova, N. *The Politics of War Commemoration in the UK and Russia*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.

Domański, T., and E. Majcher-Ociesa, eds. *Relacje polsko-żydowskie w XX wieku: Badania, kontrowersje, perspektywy*. Instytut Pamięci Narodowej: Kielce - Warszawa, 2021.

Domański, Tomasz. *Korekta obrazu? Refleksje źródłoznawcze wokół książki „Dalej jest noc: Losy Żydów w wybranych powiatach okupowanej Polski”*«. IPN: Warszawa, 2019.

Dulik, L., and K. Zieliński. *The Lost Worlds: Polish Jews. Photographs 1918-1939*. Boni Libri - ŻIH: Lublin - Warsaw, 2015.

Dybiez, P., and J. Woroncow, eds. *Wyklęci na Podlasiu: Bury, Łupaszka, Huzar*. Fundacja Oratio Recta: Warszawa, 2019.

Eds. employees of the ŻIH. *Polish Art and the Holocaust*. Żydowski Instytut Historyczny: Warszawa, 2013.

Emler, D. *La politique, l'histoire, la mémoire: Les usages politiques du passé en France dans les années 1990 et 2000*. Paris: L'Harmattan, 2021.

Fedor, J., M. Kangaspuro, J. Lassila, and T. Zhurzenko, eds. *War and Memory in Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2017.

Ferro, M. *The Use and Abuse of History, Or How the Past is Taught to Children*. Routledge, 2003.

Głowiński, T., S. Lehnstaedt, W. Mędykowski, and D. Blatman. *Tak, jak gdyby nas nigdy nie było: Zagłada Żydów w ramach niemieckiej Akcji „Reinhardt”*«. Towarzystwo Projektów Edukacyjnych - MGW: Warszawa, 2021.

Gray, M. *Contemporary Debates on Holocaust Education*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.

Halbwachs, M. *On Collective Memory*. Edited and translated by Lewis A. Coser. University of Chicago Press, 1992.

Hammond, A., ed. *The Balkans and the West: Constructing the European Other, 1945–2003*. Ashgate: Burlington VT, 2004.

Himka, J. P., and B. Michlic, eds. *Bringing the Dark Past to Light: The Reception of the Holocaust in Post-communist Europe*. University of Nebraska Press, 2013.

Jarausch, K., and T. Lindenberger, eds. *Conflicted Memories: Europeanizing Contemporary Histories*. Oxford: Berghahn Books, 2007.

Jones, S. *Towards a Collaborative Memory: German Memory Work in a Transnational Context*. Berghahn Books, 2022.

Karlsson, K.-G., J. Stenfeldt, and U. Zander, eds. *The Holocaust on Post-Communist Eastern Europe: The Politics of Memory and the Rise of Antisemitism*. Lexington Books, 2015.

Kasianov, G. *Memory Crash: The Politics of History in and around Ukraine*. CEU Press, 2022.

Kasianov, G., and P. Ther. *A Laboratory of Transnational History: Ukraine and Recent Ukrainian Historiography*. CEU Press, 2009.

Kopecek, M., ed. *Past in the Making: Historical Revisionism in Central Europe after 1989*. CEU Press, 2008.

Koposov, N. *Memory Laws, Memory Wars: The Politics of the Past in Europe and Russia*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.

Langenbacher, E., and B. Niven, eds. *Dynamics of Memory and Identity in Contemporary Europe*. Berghahn Books, 2015.

Lebow, R. N., W. Kansteiner, and C. Fogu, eds. *The Politics of Memory in Postwar Europe*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2006.

Le Goff, J. *History and Memory*. Translated by Stephen Rendall and Elizabeth Claman. Columbia University Press, 1992.

Levi, G., and J. Revel, eds. *Political Uses of the Past: The Recent Mediterranean Experiences*. London; Portland OR: Frank Cass, 2022.

Lim, J-H., and E. Rosenhaft. *Mnemonic Solidarity: Global Interventions*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2021.

Logan, W., and K. Reeves, eds. *Places of Pain and Shame: Dealing with "Difficult Heritage"*«. London: Routledge, 2009.

Lusak, J., ed. *Ślad pokoleń: Żydowskie dziedzictwo kulturowe w Polsce*. Muzeum Górnośląskie: Bytom, 2021.

Macdonald, S. *Difficult Heritage: Negotiating the Nazi Past in Nuremberg and Beyond*. London; New York: Routledge, 2009.

Macdonald, S. *Memorylands: Heritage and Identity in Europe Today*. London; New York: Routledge, 2013.

Mälksoo, Maria. *Handbook on the Politics of Memory*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2023.

Margalit, G. *Guilt, Suffering, and Memory: Germany Remembers Its Dead of World War II*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 2010.

McGlynn, J. *Memory Makers: The Politics of the Past in Putin's Russia*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2023.

McGlynn, J., and O. T. Jones, eds. *Researching Memory and Identity in Russia and Eastern Europe*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2022.

Megill, A. *Historical Knowledge, Historical Error: A Contemporary Guide to Practice*. University of Chicago Press, 2007.

Michlic, J. B. *Poland's Threatening Other: The Image of the Jew from 1880 to the Present*. University of Nebraska Press, 2006.

Miller, A., and M. Lipman. *Convolutions of Historical Politics*. CEU Press, 2012.

Mink, G. *Polska w sercu Europy: Od roku 1914 do czasów najnowszych. Historia polityczna i konflikty pamięci*. Translated by M. Kozłowska. Wydawnictwo Literackie: Kraków, 2017.

Mork, A., and P. Christodoulou, eds. *Creating the House of European History*. European Union, 2018.

Moroz, A. *Między pamięcią a historią: Konflikt pamięci zbiorowych Polaków i Białorusinów na przykładzie postaci Romualda Rajsa „Burego”*«. Instytut Pamięci Narodowej: Warszawa, 2016.

Müller, G., ed. *Designing History in East Asian Textbooks: Identity Politics and Transnational Aspirations*. Routledge, 2011.

- Nijakowski, L. M. *Polska polityka pamięci*. Wydawnictwa Akademickie i Profesjonalne, 2008.
- Niziołek, G. *Polski teatr Zagłady*. Instytut Teatralny - Krytyka Polityczna: Warszawa, 2013.
- Nowak, J., S. Kaprański, and M. Niedźwiecki, eds. *On the Banality of Forgetting: Tracing the Memory of Jewish Culture in Poland*. Peter Lang: Berlin etc., 2018.
- Nowinowski, S. M., J. Pomorski, and R. Stobiecki, eds. *Pamięć i polityka historyczna: Doświadczenia Polski i jej sąsiadów*. Instytut Pamięci Narodowej: Łódź, 2008.
- Olick, J. K. *The Politics of Regret: On Collective Memory and Historical Responsibility*. Routledge, 2007.
- Pakier, M., and B. Stráth, eds. *A European Memory? Contested Histories and Politics of Remembrance*. Berghahn Books, 2010.
- Pakier, J., and J. Wawrzyniak, eds. *Memory and Change in Europe: Eastern Perspectives*. Routledge, 2015.
- Plokhi, S., ed. *The Future of the Past: New Perspectives on Ukrainian History*. Harvard University Press, 2016.
- Preizner, J. *Kamienie na macewie: Holokaust w polskim kinie*. Austeria: Kraków - Budapeszt, 2012.
- Rudling, P. A. *The OUN, the UPA, and the Holocaust: A Study in the Manufacturing of Historical Myths*. University of Pittsburgh: Pittsburgh, PA, 2011.
- Sierp, A. *History, Memory, and Trans-European Identity: Unifying Divisions*. Routledge, 2014.
- Skibiński, P., T. Wiścicki, and M. Wysocki, eds. *Historycy i politycy: Polityka pamięci w III RP*. DiG/Muzeum Historii Polski: Warszawa, 2011.
- Stan, L. *Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Romania: The Politics of Memory*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- Stráth, B., ed. *Europe and the Other and Europe as the Other*. P.I.E. Peter Lang, 2000.
- Stryjek, T. *Jakiej przeszłości potrzebuje przyszłość? Interpretacje dziejów narodowych w historiografii i debacie publicznej na Ukrainie 1991–2004*. Instytut Studiów Politycznych PAN, Oficyna Wydawnicza RYTM, 2007.
- Stryjek, T., and J. Konieczna-Sałamatina, eds. *The Politics of Memory in Poland and Ukraine: From Reconciliation to De-Conciliation*. Routledge: Abingdon, UK etc., 2021.

Suchoples, J., S. James, and B. Törnquist-Plewa, eds. *World War II Re-explored: Some New Millennium Studies in the History of the Global Conflict*. Peter Lang: Berlin, 2019.

Taborska, H., ed., with cooperation from Marian Turski. *Sztuka w miejscach śmierci: Europejskie pomniki ofiar hitleryzmu*. Austeria: Kraków - Budapeszt - Syrakuzy, 2019.

Tamm, E., ed. *Afterlife of Events: Perspectives on Mnemohistory*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.

Tilmans, K., F. van Vree, and J. Winter, eds. *Performing the Past: Memory, History, and Identity in Modern Europe*. Amsterdam University Press: Amsterdam, 2010.

Tismaneanu, V., and B. C. Iacob, eds. *Remembrance, History, and Justice: Coming to Terms with Traumatic Pasts in Democratic Societies*. CEU Press, 2015.

Törnquist-Plewa, B., and T. S. Andersen, eds. *The Twentieth Century in European Memory: Transcultural Mediation and Reception*. Brill, 2017.

Tota, A. L., and T. Hagen, eds. *Routledge International Handbook of Memory Studies*. Routledge, 2015.

Troebst, S., ed. *Postdiktatorische Geschichtskulturen in Europa: Bestandsaufnahme und Forschungsperspektiven*. Wallstein Verlag, 2010.

Ubertowska, A. *Świadectwo – trauma – głos: Literackie reprezentacje Holokaustu*. Universitas: Kraków, 2007.

Walker, S. *The Long Hangover: Putin's New Russia and Ghosts of the Past*. Oxford University Press, 2018.

Wilson, K., ed. *Forging the Collective Memory: Government and International Historians through Two World Wars*. Berghahn Books, 1996.

Wingfield, N., ed. *Creating the Other: Ethnic Conflict and Nationalism in Habsburg Central Europe*. Berghahn Books, 2003.

Wintle, M., ed. *Imagining Europe: Europe and European Civilization as Seen from Its Margins and by the Rest of the World, in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries*. P.I.E. Peter Lang, 2008.

Wojdon, J., ed. *Public History in Poland*. Routledge, 2021.

Wolfrum, E. *Geschichtspolitik in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland: Der Weg zur bundesrepublikanischen Erinnerung 1948–1990*. Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1999.

Wüstenberg, J., and A. Sierp, eds. *Agency in Transnational Memory Politics*. Berghahn Books, 2020.

Wylegała, A., and M. Głowacka-Grajper. *The Burden of the Past: History, Memory, and Identity in Contemporary Ukraine*. Indiana University Press, 2020.

Young, J. E. *The Texture of Memory: Holocaust Memorials and Meaning*. Yale University Press: New Haven, 1994.

Ziębińska-Witek, A. *Historia w muzeach: Studium ekspozycji Holokaustu*. Wydawnictwo UMCS: Lublin, 2011.

Ziębińska-Witek, A. *Muzealizacja komunizmu w Polsce i Europie Środkowo-Wschodniej*. Wydawnictwo UMCS: Lublin, 2018.

Zerubavel, Y. *Recovered Roots: Collective Memory and the Making of Israeli National Tradition*. Chicago; London: The University of Chicago Press, 1995.

Миллер, А. И., and Д. В. Ефременко, ред. *Методологические вопросы изучения политики памяти: Сборник научных трудов*. Москва; Санкт-Петербург: Нестор-История, 2018.

Миллер, А. И., and Д. В. Ефременко, ред. *Политика памяти в современной России и странах Восточной Европы: акторы, институты, нарративы: коллективная монография*. Санкт-Петербург: Издательство Европейского университета в Санкт-Петербурге, 2020.

Репина, Л. П., ред. *Прошлое для настоящего: история-память и нарративы национальной идентичности*. Москва: Аквилон, 2020.

Петровская, О. В., ред. *Первая мировая война: историографические мифы и историческая память, [в 3 кн.], кн. 1: Народы Российской империи*. Москва: Российский институт стратегических исследований, 2014.

Петровская, О. В., ред. *Первая мировая война: историографические мифы и историческая память, [в 3 кн.], кн. 2: Страны Антанты и Четверного союза*. Москва: Российский институт стратегических исследований, 2014.

Петровская, О. В., ред. *Первая мировая война: историографические мифы и историческая память, [в 3 кн.], кн. 3: Вторая Отечественная война России. 1914–1917*. Москва: Российский институт стратегических исследований, 2014.

Эппле, Н. *Неудобное прошлое: память о государственных преступлениях в России и других странах*. Москва: Новое литературное обозрение, 2020.