Załącznik nr 2

Do Szczegółowych zasad oceny śródokresowej

**PROTOCOL FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION OF A DOCTORAL STUDENT
 IN THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES UMCS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Last name** |  |
| **First name** |  |
| **Albumu number** |  |
| **Discypline** |  |
| **Supervisor** |  |
| **Second Supervisor** |  |
| **Auxiliary Supervisor** |  |
| **Date of beginning of the education at Doctoral School** |  |

The Midt-erm Evaluation Committee composed of:

1) Chairman of the Committee - ……………………………………………

2) Member of the Committee - ……………………………………………

3) Member of the Committee - ……………………………………………

conducted on............................................. the mid-term evaluation of Ms./Mrs.......................... in the discipline of .................................

**MID-TERM EVALUATION**

**1. Evaluation of the degree of implementation of the Individual Research Plan**

a) degree of progress in the implementation of the IRP- 60 points;

- full, in accordance with the schedule, implementation of the IRP for the first and second year of study: more than 50 points;

- partial implementation of the IRP : 40-50 points;

- unsatisfactory implementation of IRP below 40 points, resulting in a final negative evaluation.

**1.a The doctoral student presents to the Committee the state of the implementation of the IRP.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **The questions were asked by:** | **Questions from the members of the Committee on the implementation of the Individual Research Plan, including the content contained in the presentation and knowledge of the research problem being pursued** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**1.b Doctoral student’s response to the questions**

Answer to question 1 –

Answer to question 2 –

Answer to question 3 –

**1.c Committee's assessment of the degree of implementation of IRP…………………….**

**2.** **Scholarly activity - 20 points**

- 15 points for publishing or accepting for publication at least one article in a journal included in the current list of the Ministry of National Education (it is also possible to show an article of which the doctoral student was a co-author and the individual contribution, confirmed by the statements of the co-authors, was at least 30%) or publishing a monograph from level I or a chapter in a monograph from level II.

- 5 points for participation in at least one international or national conference with a paper or poster.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | Type of scholarly activity | **No. of points** |
| **1.** |  |  |
| **2.** |  |  |

**Evaluation of the Committee of scholarly activity of the doctoral student MA ………………………………………**

**3. Submission or acquisition of a research grant - 20 points, including:**

- submitting a grant application - 10 points.

- obtaining a grant - 20 points.

**Evaluation of the Committee of grant activity of the doctoral student MA……………………………………………**

**II. Mid-term evaluation result (positive/negative)**

 **Total number of points earned as a result of the mid-term evaluation ………………./100**

**As a result of the vote**: ....... votes in favor, ...... votes against, ............ abstentions, the Mid-term Evaluation Committee has passed a resolution to pass/fail the evaluation of Ms./Ms. ……………………………………………….

**JUSTIFICATION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE RESULT OF THE MID-TERM EVALUATION**

……………………………………………………………………….................................................................................................

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

**RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH PLAN**

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………. ……………………………………………………………………….................................................................................................

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The Midt-erm Evaluation Committee composed of:1. Chairman ………………………………………

 1. Member …………………………………………

 3) Member ………………………………………… |  Signature  |
|  |  |